



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,127	03/17/2000	James L. Ford	AMAZON.047A	2957
20995 7	590 05/23/2002			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
SIXTEENTH I			PARDO, THUY N	
NEWPORTBI	EACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/528,127	FORD ET AL.	
, Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	THUY PARDO	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH b, cause the application to become ABAl	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 I</u>	<u> March 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	• •	merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		pplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ovisional application has bee	n received.	
Attachment(s)	₍	J	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/528,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant" Appeal Brief filed on March 07, 2002 in response to Examiner's Advisory Action has been entered.

- 2. Applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive; therefore, the rejection of that action under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Schultz patent no. 5,640,553, in view of Automated Patent System (hereinafter "APS") in "Text Search and Retrieval Training Manual".
- 6. As to claim 1, Schultz teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

identifying, within each of a plurality of categories [349a of fig. 4A], a set of items that satisfy the search query [39 items found, see fig. 4A; col. 4, lines 8-9, 30-33] and presenting associated items that satisfy the search query in a display order [see fig. 4A; col. 13, lines 35-67].

However, Schultz does not explicitly teach using at least the sets of items identified to determine category significance levels that indicate for each of the plurality of categories a level of significance of the category to the query although it has the same functionality of searching a database of a retrieval system in response to a query. APS teaches determining category significance levels that indicate for each of the plurality of categories [see the results E1 to E6 from different classes and subclasses, page 5-18].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add the feature of APS to the system of Schultz as an efficient means to bring to clients' attentions of all related items that satisfy the query from different categories.

- 7. As to claim 9, it is similar to claim 1; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.
- 8. Claim 17 is a corresponding apparatus claim of claims 1 and 9; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale.
- 9. As to claims 2, 12, and 20, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz further teaches determining the display order based on a profile of the user [col. 14, lines 15-25].

Application/Control Number: 09/528,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

10. As to claims 3 and 14, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz

further teaches determining item popularity scores for the corresponding set of items that satisfy the

query [39 items found, see fig. 4A; col. 4, lines 8-9, 30-33] and APS further teaches using the item

popularity scores to determine the category significance level for the category [see lines 7-13 of page

5-17 and display select E1 to E6 of page 5-18].

11. As to claims 4 and 15, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz

further teaches that the item popularity scores are based on at least one type of user activity that

evidences user affinities for a particular items [score, fig. 4A].

12. As to claims 5 and 19, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz

further teaches selecting an item form a list of search results [39 items founds, see fig. 4A], placing

an item in a shopping cart and purchasing an item [inherently having these features in the system in

order to purchase products on-line such as Internet].

13. As to claim 11, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz further

teaches displaying categories from highest to lowest category significance level [col. 13, lines 55-60;

col. 14, lines 15-21].

Application/Control Number: 09/528,127

Art Unit: 2175

14. As to claim 16, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed. Schultz further

teaches determining whether the query is satisfied by any web pages [col. 2, lines 60-64] that based

on a set rules [inherent in the system].

15. As to claim 21, Schultz and APS teach the invention substantially as claimed, with the

exception of a database of products sold by a merchant. However, since Schultz teaches commercial

networks such as, for example, the Prodigy network, the CompuServe network [see col. 11, lines 32-

45], the feature of a database of products sold by a merchant is obvious in the system. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the

invention to add the feature to the system to increase the trading business over the Internet.

16. The elements of claims 6-8, 13, and 18 are rejected in the analysis above, and these claims are

rejected on that basis.

17. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892 which is an attachment to this office

action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1091. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/528,127

Art Unit: 2175

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238 (After Final Communication)

(703) 746-7239 (Official Communication)

(703) 746-7240 (For Status inquiries, draft communication)

and/or:

(703) 746-5616 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

19. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Application/Control Number: 09/528,127

Art Unit: 2175

Page 7

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuy Pardo May 14, 2002

Charles Roves

Charles Evaniner

Protunit 2175